Previous references.

190
(Courts)

Later references.

File No. 84 of 36

Serial Nos. 18-24

P. 20

Part II

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 18-24

Major **Part B. for**

SUBJECT.

Establishment of Munsiff's Courts at Hunsur and Closepet.

Sl 18. From Lakshmiah Setty and others Closepet
No. Pet. 2560 dated 28/5/01
Pray for the early establishment of the proposed Munsiff's Court at Closepet.

Sl 19 From Registrar Chief Court.
No. 231/J 22 dated 5/7/01
Forwards the above petition (Sl) for disposal

G. R. S. 58

Sl 20. From The Registrar Chief Court.

No. 3189/81. D 29. 10. 01

States that it is desirable to have a Munsiff's Court at Closepet or at Channapatna as the file of the Courts at Bangalore is very heavy.

Sl 21. To Registrar Chief Court.

No. 11550/J 920. dated 9. 1. 02.

Requests to submit a comparative Statement showing all kinds of work done in all the Munsiff's Courts during the past five years.

Sl 22. From Registrar Chief Court.

No. 5010/140. D 17. 1. 02.

Forwards the Statement asked for in Sl 21.

Sl 23. To Regr. Chief Ct.

No. J 1466. D 12. 4. 02

States that the Addl. Munsiff of Bangalore and the Munsiff of Nelamangala have less work than the Principal Munsiff and that the necessity to establish a new Court may be obviated if the distribution of work between them receives periodical adjustment.

Sl 24 From The Registrar Ch Court

No. 7382/216. D 17. 4. 02

States that no quantitative adjustment is possible and that the only adjustment than can be made is transferring the suits from the Bangalore Court to the outlaying Courts.

Indexed: [illegible]

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

SECTION

FILE No.

SERIAL No.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT Establishment of
a Munsiff's Cour
at Closepet

LAST REFERENCE Letter No. 3189/81 dated 29th Octo

From The Registrar of the Chie

In their petition da
28th May 1901 Lakshm
Setty & others of Closepe
stated that they ~~are~~
experienced great har
ship in going to Ba
for their civil cases
prayed that a Muns
Court may be estab
at Closepet.

This petition wa
forwarded to the Ch
Court for favour of
disposal

× Vide Registrar's No 3189/81 of 29th October 1901

In reply ~~the~~ Registrar

wrote

rites a reference to
te Chief Judge's remarks 2
against Subject No 66
f the Bangalore District
list of the Dusserah
Representative Assembly
ubjects of 1901, to the
ffect that it is very
esirable to have a Mun-
siff's Court at Closepet
r Chennapatna as
he file of the two Mun-
siff's Courts at Bangalore
is very heavy.

Summary of representation
× "A Munsiffs
be established either at
Closepet, or at Chenna-
-patna with Civil
jurisdiction over the
Taluks, ~~viz~~ Closepet,
Chennapatna, Magadi
and Kankanhalli."

The question of locating
a Munsiff's Court at
Closepet was mooted
in the Dussera Representative
Assembly of 1895 and
fter some correspondence
n the subject, between
te Govt and the Chief
Court, it was finally
decided in 1899, that
it was not desirable
to have a Munsiff's
Court at Closepet,
for

+ vide Serial No 1

3

× vide Serial No 24 in J.F. No 25 of 1896-97.

for reasons given in the late Dewan Sir K. × Seshadri Iyer's D.O. letter dated 5th January 1899 to Mr Best the principal reasons being (1) want of suitable accommodation for the Court at Closepet (2) the inexpediency of disturbing long-established jurisdiction except when absolutely necessary)

The point for orders is whether it is necessary that a separate ~~new~~ Munsiff's Court should be established at Closepet or Chennapat as proposed by the Chief Court.

Submitted for order

6/11/01

Vide also the present Dewan's remarks as 2nd Cr in 1897 (at No. 15) to the effect that Bangalore is in every way better and the railway journey does not take more than three hours. Sending the Court back there appears to me to be a retrograde step."

7-11

To the Dewan.

2369
8/11/01.

4

3052

Call for a comparative statement of all kinds of work in all the Munsiffs' courts for the last five years.

5.1.02

6/1

7.1.02

Draft filed

7/1/02

The Registrar who was asked to submit a comparative statement as per orders above, now forwards the same (vide his letter No $\frac{5010}{140}$ dated 17th January 1902).

Submitted for orders

~~Dec~~

28.1.02

28/1/02.

To the Dewan.

29/1/1902

3165

R.D 30.1.02

4575

The additional & the Vel.a Munsiffs have got much less work than the Principal Munsiff. The distribution between them requires periodical adjustment. If this is made the necessity for a fourth court may for the present at least be obviated. In the absence of a general standard of the maximum quantity of work which might efficiently be done by a single court it is difficult to venture an opinion ~~regarding~~ as to whether these Munsiffs at present do sufficient work or are overworked. Draft a letter on these lines and suggest that the proposal might considered after some further experience is gained.

8.IV.02

D.A.

9/4

Draft filed

10/4/02

5

Office Note Continued

The foregoing observations were communicated to the Chief Court and in reply^+^ thereto, the Registrar reports that no quantitative standard would be proper criterion as there are simple suits and complicated suits; and that the only adjustment that can be made, is by transferring suits which means sending them away from Bangalore to the outlying stations as the Principal Munsiff's jurisdiction is limited to Bangalore Town and Taluk. He further states that if the Principal Munsiff's Court + is converted into a Court of Small Causes as suggested in his office letter No 6016/164 dated

+ Letter No 7382/216 d/ 1/4/02 22 from the Regr

+ In this office No 14018/1183 dated 24th February, 1902, it was intimated to the Chief Court that the question of establishment of a Small Cause Court at Bangalore will receive the consideration of Govt in connection with the draft Small Cause Court Regn

(* This No [illegible] up with the file relating to the draft S.C. Court Regn & submitted to the Dewan on 11/4/02)

February 1902, the necessity for an additional Munsiff's Court may possibly be obviated.

Submitted for orders

Rai
25/4/02

[illegible]
25/4/

It ~~nee~~ did not need ~~the~~ extraordinary acumen ~~of the Ch. Ct.~~ to let us know that there are simple suits and complicated suits.

I do not quite understand the observations ~~as that the regulation only~~ about the possible mode of adjustment. If some suits ~~if~~ are transferred to the Addl Mff's Court, there will be better proportion observed. May this Commnt be recorded till the question of Small Cause Courts is otherwise decided?

There is no doubt that the delays in our

Chief Court deserves greater respect. !

Civil Courts are increasing beyond all reasonable bounds and require a sharp remedy.

30/4/1902

The Dewan.

Recd MB
2.5.02
4337

7508

Put this up with my note of today

28.IX.02

30/9

Attended to
30/9/02

J/399

26

Submitted
4.6.01

SECTION [illegible]

FILE No. [illegible]

Transf. to Judl. Branch

5/6/01

Recd
7/6/01

1901 ~~189~~

No.	2560
Name of Petitioners	Lakshmiah Setty and others of
Village / Sub Taluk	Closepet
District	Bangalore
Date of Arzi	28-5-01
Date of Receipt	31-5-01
Date of Disposal	
No. of Enclosure	Nil

ABSTRACT

The petitioners state that for the last 3 or 4 years there has been a rumour that the Additional Munsiff's Court at Bangalore will be transferred to Closepet; that the people of Chennapatna, Closepet and Kankanhalli Taluks experience great difficulty in going to Bangalore for their litigation; and that they

ave been in daily expectation
- the realization of the above
alk

They pray for early establishment
of a Munsiff's Court at Closepet
as formerly.

Rao
3/6/01

27

SECTION 65

FILE NO. [illegible]

SERIAL NO. 10

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 231 / J. 22

5-7-01
En: One

The accompanying Petition ~~No.~~
dated the 28th May 1901
received at the Dewan's Office from
petitioners Lakshmiah Setty and others of the Closepet Sub-Taluk praying for the establishment of a Munsiff's Court at Closepet.
is forwarded, to~~gether with its~~
~~enclosure~~, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, for favor of disposal.

4/7

By Order,

25/6/01

BANGALORE, ~~18~~9

Secretary.

~~Compd.~~
ma
25/6 –

J 6200

(General. Serial No. 30.)

SECTION ....
FILE N... 8 of 96
SER. N... 29

55-62
1.XI.01

~~189~~ 1901-2

From The Registrar of the Chief Court, Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 29th } October 1901
Despatched 31st }
Received

No. 3189/81

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Invites attention to the Chief Judge's remarks against subject No. 66 of the Bangalore District list of the Dasara Representative Assembly Subjects 1901, to the effect that it is very desirable to have a Munsiff's Court at Closepett or Chennapatna as the file of the two Munsiffs Courts at Bangalore is very heavy—

Ind
1.11.

H.J. with refs.
5.11.01

Subd with an Office note

12 ✓

No. 3189/81

Bangalore 29th October 1901.

From,

V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,
Registrar,
Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq., M. A., M. L.
Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No: 231/J. 22 dated the 5th July 1901 forwarding a Petition from the inhabitants of Closepet, I am directed to invite your attention to the Chief Judge's remark against Subject No: 66 of the Bangalore District list of the Dasara Representative Assembly Subjects 1901, to the effect that it is very desirable to have a Munsiff's Court at Closepet or Chennapatna as the file of the two Munsiffs' Courts at Bangalore is very heavy.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant;

V. Rajaratna Mud
Registrar.

Aun

13 Draft as per order

SECTION [illegible]
FILE No. 86 of 01
[illegible] 2

29

To.

The Registrar,
Chief Court of Myso

Dated 9-1-1902
No $\frac{11550}{J\ 920}$

The Registrar's letter No $\frac{31}{81}$ dated 29th October 1901, recommending the establis -ment of a separate Munsiff Court at Closepet or Chenna -patna.

Adverting to the abov has the honour to request ~~that~~ the submission of a comparative statement showing all kinds of work done in all the Munsiff's Courts during the last five years.

Dewan's order)

By order
9-1-02
For Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

J 1036

30

66 14

8/42

22

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE ~~189~~1901-2
9082
22-1-02

From
The Registrar of the
Chief Court, Mysore

To
The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore

Dated 17th
Despatched 21st } Jany 1902
Received

Enclosures No. One

General No. 5010
No. 140

Forwards a comparative statement showing all kinds of work done in all the Munsiffs' Courts during the past five years –

Int
22.1.02

Recd
23/1/02

Submitted with office note continued

23/1/02

15

N 5010/140 Ju:1

Bangalore, 17th January 1902

From,

V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,
Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq.,
M.A., M.L.,
Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

As requested in your No: 1155 0/J. 920 dated 9th Instant, I have the honor to forward a comparative Statement showing all kinds of work done in all the Munsiff's Courts during the past five years (1896-7 to 1900-01).

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
V. Rajaratna Mudr
Registrar

Am

Courts.	1896-'97					1897-'98					1898-'99			
	Original	Small causes	Miscellaneous	Execution	Total.	Original	Small causes	Miscellaneous	Execution	Total.	Original	Small Causes	Miscellaneous	Execution
Principal Munsiff, Bangalore	987	„	751	2,120	3858	1997	2584	688	3825	9094	1251	1657	1010	2369
Additional do do	873	„	426	639	1938	603	387	181	720	1891	847	612	495	1209
Munsiff Nelamangala — now Dodballapur	754	663	482	1509	3408	807	643	548	1739	3737	461	383	396	1011
Total	2,614	6,63	1,659	4,268	9,204	3,407	3,614	1417	6284	14,722	2559	2652	1901	4589

	1899 - 1900					1900 - '01.					Remarks
	Original	Small causes	Miscellaneous	Execution	Total	Original	Small causes	Miscellaneous	Execution	Total.	
87	1386	1727	1374	2814	7,301	1382	1962	1486	3253	8,083	
63	736	688	510	1395	3,329	928	708	544	1735	3915	
51	578	462	459	1450	2,949	736	396	536	1712	3380	
701	2700	2877	2343	5659	13,579	3046	3066	2566	6700	15,378	

Draft as per [illegible]
16/4 [illegible]

(31)

D/2

SECTION Ede
FILE No. 84 of 96
SERIAL No. 23

To,

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of
Mysore.

Dated 12th April 1902

No. $\frac{16681}{J\ 1466}$

Sir, With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. $\frac{5010}{140}$ dated 17th January 1902, recommending the establishment of a Munsiff's Court at Closepet or Chennapatna owing to the file of the two Munsiff's Courts at Bangalore being very heavy, I am desired to observe that the Additional Munsiff of Bangalore and the Munsiff of ~~and~~ Nelamangala (now of Doddballapur) ~~Munsiffs~~ have ~~got~~ much less work than the Principal

Principal Munsiff. The distribution between 17 them seems to require periodical adjustment, and if ~~If~~ this is made, the necessity for a fourth Court may, it seems to Govt, be for the present at least, ~~be~~ obviated. ~~In the absence of a general standard of the maximum quantity of work which may be efficiently done by a ~~a~~ single Court Govt consider that it is difficult to say ~~if~~ ~~w~~ whether these three Munsiffs at present do sufficient work or are overworked.~~

~~It is not quite clear ~~to~~ ~~them~~ that these three munsifs are all doing~~ The unequal incidence of work as ~~between~~ among these three munsifs is apparently ~~very unequal~~ from the numbers of cases ~~dispos~~ in each ~~and Govt Consider~~ it ~~to~~ seems desirable that some approximate standard should be adopted to judge how much wd be the proper quantity to be expected of an officer of average despatch and intelligence. ~~In the~~ Govt would be prepared to consider any further proposals that the Chief Court would consider it fit to make after some further experience.

~~Under these circumstances~~ I am ~~to~~ ~~prepared~~ suggest that the proposal may be considered after some further experience is gained.

I have etc

Ch 11/4/02

Rpi 10/4/02

Secretary 11/4

18

(General Serial No. 30.)

J 1627

13536 ~~189~~ 1901-2
23-4-02

[illegible]

SECTION [illegible]

FILE NO. [illegible]

S[illegible] 2

From The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 17th April 1902

Despatched 22/4/02

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 7382

No. 216

Referring to his No. 16681/J 1466 of 12th Instant, states that the only adjustment that can be made is by transferring suits from Bangalore to the outlaying stations, as the Principal Munsiff's jurisdiction is limited to Bangalore Town and Taluk and that if the Principal Muff's Court is converted into a Small Cause Court as suggested the necessity for an additional Munsiff's Court may possibly be obviated -

Jnl
23.4.02

Submitted with Office note Continued

[illegible]/4/02

(32) 19

7382/216

Bangalore I7th April I902.

From

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

To

H.V.Nanjundayya Esq.,M.A.,M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your letter NO:I668I/JI466 dated I2th Instant observing that the Additional Munsiff of Bangaloreand Munsiff of Nelamangala(now of Dodballapur) have much less work than the Principal Munsiff of Bangalore and that if distribution of work between them is periodically adjusted the necessity for a fourth Court at Closepct or Chennapatna may be obviated,I am directed to state that no quantitative standard would be proper criterion,as there are simple suits and complicated suits.The only adjustment that can be made is by transferring suits which means sending them away from Bangalore to the outlaying Stations,as the Principal Munsiff's Jurisdiction is limited to Bangalore Town and Taluk.If the Principal Munsiff's Court is converted into a Court of Small Causes as suggested in this Office letter NO:60I6/I64 dated I7th February I902,the necessity for an additional Munsiff's Court may possibly be obviated.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant;
V.Rajaratna Mud
REGISTRAR.